

## Minutes OAT Board of Trustees

Date:Thursday 19th July 2018Time:11.00amLocation:Thistle Holborn Hotel, The Kingsley Fitzroy,<br/>36-37 Bloomsbury Way, London, WC1A 2SD

## Present:

Paul Hann	PH	Chair
Wendy Barnes	WB	Trustee
Sir Robin Bosher	RB	Trustee
lan Brookman	IB	Vice-Chair
Frances Hall	FH	Trustee (by telephone-part)
Nick Hudson	NH	CEO and Trustee
Jane Nolan	JN	Executive Principal and Trustee
Bal Samra	BS	Trustee
Mark Stanyer	MS	Executive Principal and Trustee

## Apologies:

Carmel Brown	СВ	National Director of People and Operations
Peter Murray	PM	Trustee and Founding Chair

## In Attendance:

Marcella Craven	MC	Executive PA
Mark Henson	МН	Company Secretary
Nicole McCartney	NMc	National Director of Education
Andrew Jones	AJ	Prospective Trustee
James Miller	JMi	National Director of Estates and Technology
James Murray	JMu	Ormiston Trust – CEO (by telephone)
Paul Nye	PN	National Director of Finance
Amelia Walker	AW	National Director of Strategy and Quality Improvement
Chris Kirk	CJK	Minute 4 only (by telephone)- CJK Associates
Ray Legge	RL	Minute 4 only – CJK Associates

Discussion	ACTION
Welcome, introductions and apologies	
Apologies were received and accepted from PM and CB.	
The Chairman welcomed AJ as an invited prospective trustee and AW as the	
recently appointed National Director of Strategy and Quality Improvement.	
Minutes/Administration	
Declaration of interests and Trustees interests	
No changes declared.	
Minutes	
The Minutes of the Board Meeting of 15 March 2018 were approved as a true record and signed on behalf of the Board by the Chairman.	РН
	Welcome, introductions and apologies         Apologies were received and accepted from PM and CB.         The Chairman welcomed AJ as an invited prospective trustee and AW as the recently appointed National Director of Strategy and Quality Improvement.         Minutes/Administration         Declaration of interests and Trustees interests         No changes declared.         Minutes         The Minutes of the Board Meeting of 15 March 2018 were approved as a



2.3	Matters Arising	
	5.1 Thomas Wolsey – additional support	
	NMc confirmed that additional special school support was being delivered	
	via external consultants.	
	7.2 Due Diligence Process	
	IB confirmed that academy conversions were now presented to the FOR	
	Committee prior to Board formal requests.	
	All other matters arising had been actioned, delegated to Committees or	
	formed part of the meeting's agenda.	
2	Stratagia Driavitian	
<b>3</b> 3.1	Strategic Priorities	
3.1	AW presented draft principles and timescales for establishing a three-five	
	year strategy, highlighting the preliminary nature given her recent arrival and	
	noting that the process would evolve as discussions and consultation	
	continued. It aimed to provide a high level strategic framework with	
	education at the core of thinking.	
	The process would include the formation of six plans (curriculum and	
	pedagogy/shape and distribution/finance/people/delivery/national and local	
	contribution) aligned with OAT's Operating Model and supported by the	
	development of data and information to provide metrics to assess progress	
	against objectives.	
	against objectives.	
	Discussion included the use of existing workstreams and processes;	
	terminology; bringing focus to priorities; the importance of pace in delivering	
	improvements in standards; the criticality of financing for the delivery of	
	resources and talent to deliver change; the ambitious timescales and timing	
	of launching the output; the level of consultation and importance to have	
	Principals' support; the over-riding need for alignment with the Operating	
	Model once finalised.	
	PH concluded the discussions noting that the Board was in broad agreement	
	but would need further input at its December Board meeting and that the	AW/NH
	Board Committees were available for providing feedback in the interim.	-
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
3.2	NH summarised the outcome of recent discussions with the DfE in regard to	
	future development with parameters for growth consistent with previous	
	Board discussions formally agreed with the DfE. NH would circulate the	NH
	parameters to the trustees for information.	
4		
	OAT Operating Model (R Legge/C Kirk in attendance by phone)	
<b>4</b> 4.1	RL introduced the work undertaken by CJK Associates to appraise OAT's	
	RL introduced the work undertaken by CJK Associates to appraise OAT's current operating model and make recommendations for future design	
	RL introduced the work undertaken by CJK Associates to appraise OAT's current operating model and make recommendations for future design options. The purpose of today's presentation was to secure Board	
	RL introduced the work undertaken by CJK Associates to appraise OAT's current operating model and make recommendations for future design options. The purpose of today's presentation was to secure Board endorsement of the design principles and preferred operating model and	
	RL introduced the work undertaken by CJK Associates to appraise OAT's current operating model and make recommendations for future design options. The purpose of today's presentation was to secure Board endorsement of the design principles and preferred operating model and agree future work direction.	
	RL introduced the work undertaken by CJK Associates to appraise OAT's current operating model and make recommendations for future design options. The purpose of today's presentation was to secure Board endorsement of the design principles and preferred operating model and agree future work direction. RL summarised the work's objectives and approach; its assessment of	
	RL introduced the work undertaken by CJK Associates to appraise OAT's current operating model and make recommendations for future design options. The purpose of today's presentation was to secure Board endorsement of the design principles and preferred operating model and agree future work direction. RL summarised the work's objectives and approach; its assessment of current strengths and weaknesses from surveys and workshops from an	
	RL introduced the work undertaken by CJK Associates to appraise OAT's current operating model and make recommendations for future design options. The purpose of today's presentation was to secure Board endorsement of the design principles and preferred operating model and agree future work direction. RL summarised the work's objectives and approach; its assessment of current strengths and weaknesses from surveys and workshops from an educational and operational perspective; potential issues and solutions; the	
	RL introduced the work undertaken by CJK Associates to appraise OAT's current operating model and make recommendations for future design options. The purpose of today's presentation was to secure Board endorsement of the design principles and preferred operating model and agree future work direction. RL summarised the work's objectives and approach; its assessment of current strengths and weaknesses from surveys and workshops from an educational and operational perspective; potential issues and solutions; the seven design principles discussed and agreed with the Executive; operating	
	RL introduced the work undertaken by CJK Associates to appraise OAT's current operating model and make recommendations for future design options. The purpose of today's presentation was to secure Board endorsement of the design principles and preferred operating model and agree future work direction. RL summarised the work's objectives and approach; its assessment of current strengths and weaknesses from surveys and workshops from an educational and operational perspective; potential issues and solutions; the seven design principles discussed and agreed with the Executive; operating model options and reasons for the preferred "OAT Education Programmes"	
	RL introduced the work undertaken by CJK Associates to appraise OAT's current operating model and make recommendations for future design options. The purpose of today's presentation was to secure Board endorsement of the design principles and preferred operating model and agree future work direction. RL summarised the work's objectives and approach; its assessment of current strengths and weaknesses from surveys and workshops from an educational and operational perspective; potential issues and solutions; the seven design principles discussed and agreed with the Executive; operating model options and reasons for the preferred "OAT Education Programmes" model with operational partnerships; and suggested next steps for	
	RL introduced the work undertaken by CJK Associates to appraise OAT's current operating model and make recommendations for future design options. The purpose of today's presentation was to secure Board endorsement of the design principles and preferred operating model and agree future work direction. RL summarised the work's objectives and approach; its assessment of current strengths and weaknesses from surveys and workshops from an educational and operational perspective; potential issues and solutions; the seven design principles discussed and agreed with the Executive; operating model options and reasons for the preferred "OAT Education Programmes"	
	RL introduced the work undertaken by CJK Associates to appraise OAT's current operating model and make recommendations for future design options. The purpose of today's presentation was to secure Board endorsement of the design principles and preferred operating model and agree future work direction. RL summarised the work's objectives and approach; its assessment of current strengths and weaknesses from surveys and workshops from an educational and operational perspective; potential issues and solutions; the seven design principles discussed and agreed with the Executive; operating model options and reasons for the preferred "OAT Education Programmes" model with operational partnerships; and suggested next steps for progressing and refining the operating model in the Autumn.	
	RL introduced the work undertaken by CJK Associates to appraise OAT's current operating model and make recommendations for future design options. The purpose of today's presentation was to secure Board endorsement of the design principles and preferred operating model and agree future work direction. RL summarised the work's objectives and approach; its assessment of current strengths and weaknesses from surveys and workshops from an educational and operational perspective; potential issues and solutions; the seven design principles discussed and agreed with the Executive; operating model options and reasons for the preferred "OAT Education Programmes" model with operational partnerships; and suggested next steps for	



	financial appraisal and cost of change to ensure affordability; additional initial reviews of operational functions and cost base; the need to keep other options open should the preferred model prove unaffordable; a comparison of the model to other MATs; secondary v primary bias to the model; geographical barriers; and the importance of clear metrics for monitoring and alignment of the model with the strategy discussions.	
	Areas suggested for inclusion or clearer articulation in the design principles included local community involvement; clear line of sight of the governance model from pupil to Trustee board; and innovation.	
	In concluding, PH confirmed the Board's general agreement with the design principles subject to the above comments and suggestions, and reliant on the costing implications and alignment to the developing strategy work.	ΝΗ
5	School Improvement Update	
5.1	NMc summarised key activities and developments since the last meeting including systems reporting improvements; curriculum vision for each stage of education to be taken forward by AW; Ofsted activity; school improvement bids; CPD and network group progress; recent principal appointments; awards received highlighting JN's award as TES Headteacher of the Year; and enrichment activities for information including the #iwill joint grant of £2m.	
	Discussion included the RAG rating/SOAP data which continued to develop and refine and the recognition that RAG criteria should be objective and transparent to ensure consistency in assessment and credibility with Principals.	
6	Einensiel Oversight & Dick Committee Undets	
<b>6</b> 6.1	Financial Oversight & Risk Committee Update Budget 2018/19 – 20/21	
0.1		
	PN summarised the Trust draft budget for 2018/19 and subsequent two years which had been reviewed by the FOR Committee noting the requirement to now submit three-year plans to ESFA and its guidance on reserves of holding c3-5% of Income.	
	years which had been reviewed by the FOR Committee noting the requirement to now submit three-year plans to ESFA and its guidance on	
	<ul><li>years which had been reviewed by the FOR Committee noting the requirement to now submit three-year plans to ESFA and its guidance on reserves of holding c3-5% of Income.</li><li>PN then outlined the process and expectations set for academies when setting their budgets and reported that the majority of schools had achieved this and explained the reasons driving non-achievement at a small number of academies and explaining the impact of first year lack of funding for</li></ul>	
	<ul> <li>years which had been reviewed by the FOR Committee noting the requirement to now submit three-year plans to ESFA and its guidance on reserves of holding c3-5% of Income.</li> <li>PN then outlined the process and expectations set for academies when setting their budgets and reported that the majority of schools had achieved this and explained the reasons driving non-achievement at a small number of academies and explaining the impact of first year lack of funding for increased pupil numbers.</li> <li>Discussion included the assumptions and sensitivities in the budget for staff increases given public sector expectations; saving expectations from recruitment phasing; operating model potential impacts; and deferred income</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>years which had been reviewed by the FOR Committee noting the requirement to now submit three-year plans to ESFA and its guidance on reserves of holding c3-5% of Income.</li> <li>PN then outlined the process and expectations set for academies when setting their budgets and reported that the majority of schools had achieved this and explained the reasons driving non-achievement at a small number of academies and explaining the impact of first year lack of funding for increased pupil numbers.</li> <li>Discussion included the assumptions and sensitivities in the budget for staff increases given public sector expectations; saving expectations from recruitment phasing; operating model potential impacts; and deferred income treatment and impact further to the auditor's recommendations.</li> </ul>	ΡΝ
	<ul> <li>years which had been reviewed by the FOR Committee noting the requirement to now submit three-year plans to ESFA and its guidance on reserves of holding c3-5% of Income.</li> <li>PN then outlined the process and expectations set for academies when setting their budgets and reported that the majority of schools had achieved this and explained the reasons driving non-achievement at a small number of academies and explaining the impact of first year lack of funding for increased pupil numbers.</li> <li>Discussion included the assumptions and sensitivities in the budget for staff increases given public sector expectations; saving expectations from recruitment phasing; operating model potential impacts; and deferred income treatment and impact further to the auditor's recommendations.</li> <li>After discussion, the Board approved:     <ul> <li>a) the RSM recommended change in accounting policy for deferred</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	PN PN
6.2	<ul> <li>years which had been reviewed by the FOR Committee noting the requirement to now submit three-year plans to ESFA and its guidance on reserves of holding c3-5% of Income.</li> <li>PN then outlined the process and expectations set for academies when setting their budgets and reported that the majority of schools had achieved this and explained the reasons driving non-achievement at a small number of academies and explaining the impact of first year lack of funding for increased pupil numbers.</li> <li>Discussion included the assumptions and sensitivities in the budget for staff increases given public sector expectations; saving expectations from recruitment phasing; operating model potential impacts; and deferred income treatment and impact further to the auditor's recommendations.</li> <li>After discussion, the Board approved: <ul> <li>a) the RSM recommended change in accounting policy for deferred income; and</li> <li>b) The 2018/19 Budget as presented, subject to agreed phasing</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	



	the current master funding agreement.	
	Discussion included the need to ensure academies/Principals remain motivated; academy accountability; sustainable funding; and trust principles.	
	It was agreed that further consideration of the matters would continue with the FOR committee and be brought back to the Board with a recommendation in December.	PN
6.3	Other matters	
	PN briefly noted other matters distributed to the trustees for information including the management accounts; the risk register reviewed regularly by the Executive and at each FOR committee meeting; and emerging issues circulated to the trustees for information.	
7	Estates & Technology Update	
7.1	JM briefly outlined the various developments in Estates and Technology including estates management; capital programmes; data protection (GDPR); procurement and the development of an in-house Board document storage portal.	
	JM highlighted the introduction of the new Board portal to replace Diligent which would be trialled for the Board's September meeting and trustees contacted accordingly; and an IT update in response to Mazars recent internal audit report following its visit to 5 academies and Head office.	ЈМ
8	Academies development	
8.1	JM updated the Board on the status of various potential academies in development.	
8.2	<u>Approval of the conversion of Broadland High School</u> Having carefully considered the outcome of the due diligence process, and following receipt of the consent of the Secretary of State, via an Academy Order, the directors considered that it was in OAT's best interests (and in furtherance of the charitable objects) to approve the conversion of Broadland High School (to be known as Broadland High Ormiston Academy) (the "Academy") to OAT (the "conversion"), with effect from 1 August 2018 or as soon as possible thereafter.	
	In making this decision, the directors have considered their equality duty and have concluded that the decision to enter into the conversion is unlikely to affect disproportionately any particular person or group who share protected characteristics. The Directors therefore resolved to authorise Nick Hudson/Paul Hann to enter on the board's behalf into the documents required to effect the conversion including but not limited to:	
	conversion, including but not limited to: 1. Adoption of the new Master Funding Agreement model	
	3. Commercial Transfer Agreement	
	4. Lease	
9	Committee Terms of Reference	
9.1	The Board considered and approved the revised terms of reference, as recommended for adoption by the respective committees, for its Audit, FOR,	
		1



	SIS and Remuneration committees.	
40		
10	Policies	
10.1	Child Protection and Safeguarding Policy	
	The Child Protection and Safeguarding Policy as circulated to the meeting	
	was considered and approved.	
10.2	Children Missing Education Policy	
	The Children Missing Education Policy as circulated to the meeting was	
	considered and approved.	
11	Any other business	
11.1	Registered Office	
	The Board approved a change of the Registered Office to Ormiston House,	
	144 Newhall Street, Birmingham, B3 1RY.	
11.2	Company Secretary	
11.2	The Board noted the resignation of MRH as Company Secretary with effect	
	from 31 August 2018 and thanked him for his service and contribution. MH	мн
	would update Companies House accordingly.	
11.3	National Director of Education	
	PH informed the Board that NMc was leaving OAT and thanked her for her	
	outstanding contribution to pupils, colleagues and the Trust during her time	
	at OAT and wished her well in her new role.	
11.4	Dates of next meetings	
	Thursday 13 September 2018	
	Thursday 13 December 2018	
	Thursday 14 March 2019	
	Thursday 18 July 2019 - to be rearranged	
	There being no further business, the meeting closed.	
	Chairman	